UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,465	09/26/2003	Richard Gribbons	P1555	9317
	7590 10/11/200° VASCULAR, INC.		EXAMINER	
IP LEGAL DEI 3576 UNOCAL	PARTMENT		THANH, LOAN H	
SANTA ROSA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rs.vasciplegal@medtronic.com

•	· iH	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,465	GRIBBONS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by six Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-8,10,11,34-37,39 and 40</u> is/s 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>36,37,39 and 40</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,8 and 35</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,10,11 and 34</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	1.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2007 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/18/07.) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The 112, 2nd paragraph rejection have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment filed 02/09/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chee et al. (US 6,315,757).

Chee et al. discloses a catheter having a proximal shaft having a guidewire (gw) and an inflation lumen and a distal shaft wherein the distal shaft has greater flexibility than the proximal shaft and a transition section having a spiral helix which provides for gradual increase in flexibility in the transition section. The spiral helix is partially free-floating within the inflation lumen. See figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chee et al. (US 6,315,757).

Chee et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the spiral helix material being a thermoplastic polymeric. Chee et al. disclose the material to be preferably metal alloys. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the material of Chee et al. with a thermoplastic polymer material which could be a more biodegradable material than metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious engineering choice lacking any criticality. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,10,11,34-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 36-37,39-40 are allowable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 1, 4,7-8, the examiner is maintaining the rejection.

The Examiner is interpreting the claims in the broadest interpretation. Applicant is

Art Unit: 3763

specifically relying on shaft portions 102,104 and 106. The Examiner is taking a broader interpretation of the proximal, distal and transition portions of the catheter. As applicant has pointed out, the Examiner is relying on figure 4 having proximal portion, transition section and distal shaft portion

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LoAn H. Thanh/

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT